

AUSTRALIAN PESTICIDES AND VETERINARY MEDICINE AUTHORITY

NATIONAL REGISTRATION SCHEME

FOR AGRICULTURAL AND VETERINARY CHEMICALS

AUSTRALIA

CHEMICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

OF

OMETHOATE

PREPARED BY THE

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY

of the

Department of Health

Canberra

May 2006

As updated June 2015

© Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority 2015

ISBN: 978-1-925390-07-0 (electronic)

Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA).

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of the Coat of Arms and other elements specifically identified, this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence. This is a standard form agreement that allows you to copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work.





A summary of the licence terms is available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en. The full licence terms are available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en. The full licence terms are available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en. The full licence terms are available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en.

The APVMA's preference is that you attribute this publication (and any approved material sourced from it) using the following wording:

Source: Licensed from the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence. This report was prepared for the APVMA by the Australian Government Department of Department of the Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPaC).

In referencing this document the Australian Government Department of Department of the Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities should be cited as the author and the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority as the publisher and copyright owner.

Use of the Coat of Arms

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are set out on the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet website (see www.dpmc.gov.au/pmc/publication/commonwealth-coat-arms-information-and-guidelines).

Disclaimer

The material in or linking from this report may contain the views or recommendations of third parties. This material does not necessarily reflect the views of the APVMA, or indicate a commitment to a particular course of action.

There may be links in this document that will transfer you to external websites. The APVMA does not have responsibility for these websites, nor does linking to or from this document constitute any form of endorsement.

The APVMA is not responsible for any errors, omissions or matters of interpretation in this document.

Comments and enquiries:

The Director, Public Affairs and Communication Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority PO Box 6182 KINGSTON ACT 2604 Australia

Telephone: +61 2 6210 4701

Email: communications@apvma.gov.au

This publication is available from the APVMA website: www.apvma.gov.au.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EX | ECUTIVE SUMMARY | 6 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2. | OMETHOATE PRODUCTS AND THEIR USE PATTERNS | 7 |
| 4. | TOXICOLOGICAL ENDPOINTS FOR THE OHS RISK ASSESSMENT | 12 |
| 4.1 | | |
| 4.2 | | 13 |
| 4.3 | NOEL FOR RE-ENTRY INTERVAL CALCULATION | 13 |
| 5. | ASSESSMENT OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE AND RISKS DURING PRODUCT USE | 13 |
| 5.1 | EVALUATION OF EXPOSURE STUDIES | 13 |
| 5.2 | | |
| 5.3 | | |
| 5.4 | | |
| 6. | ASSESSMENT OF POST-APPLICATION EXPOSURE AND RISKS | 30 |
| 6.1 | POST-APPLICATION EXPOSURE | 30 |
| 6.2 | POST-APPLICATION RISKS | 30 |
| 7. | RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE APVMA | 31 |
| 8. | REFERENCES | 35 |
| AN | NEX I – SAFE WORK AUSTRALIA HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE REGULATIONS | 36 |
| HA | ZARD CLASSIFICATION | 36 |
| EX | POSURE STANDARDS | 36 |
| HE | ALTH SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS | 37 |
| AN | NEX III: AUSTRALIAN REGISTERED PRODUCTS CONTAINING OMETHOATE | 39 |

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ai Active Ingredient bw body weight

g gram
h hour
ha hectare
iv intravenous
kg kilogram
L litre
m metre

m² square metre
m³ cubic metre
μg microgram
mg milligram

mg/kg bw/day mg/kg bodyweight/day

mL millilitre
min minute
mo month
ng nanogram
ppb parts per billion
ppm parts per million

s second te Tonne Wk Week d day

AC Aqueous concentrate

AE Aerosol

ACPH Advisory Committee on Pesticides and Health

APVMA Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority

ChE Cholinesterase

CRP Chemical Review Program

DDVP 2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (Omethoate)

DFR Dislodgeable Foliar Residue

DMP Dimethylphosphate
DoH Department of Health
EC Emulsifiable Concentrate
GC Gas Chromatography

HG Home Garden LC Liquid concentrate

LD Liquid

LD₅₀ Lethal Dose 50 – Median Lethal Dose

LOEL Lowest Observed Effect Level

LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantification
MOE Margin of Exposure

NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council

NOEC No Observed Effect Concentration

NOEL No Observed Effect Level

NOHSC National Occupational Health and Safety Commission

OCS Office of Chemical Safety
OHS Occupational Health and Safety
OP Organophosphorus pesticide

PCO Pest Control Operator

PHED Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database

PPE Personal Protective Equipment

PVC Polyvinyl chloride R Correlation Coefficient

RBC Red blood cell
REI Re-entry interval
RHI Re-handling interval
SL Soluble concentrate
SUSMP Poisons Schedule
TC Transfer Coefficient
TWA Time Weighted Average

USEPA United States Environment Protection Agency

WHP Withholding period

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Omethoate has a long history of use in Australia as a direct and systemic insecticide and acaricide in both commercial and home garden situations. Currently, there are eighteen omethoate-containing professional use products registered in Australia. These professional products, aqueous concentrate (AC), liquid concentrate (LC) or soluble concentrate (SL) formulations, contain either 290 g/L or 800 g/L omethoate and are the subject of this OHS review. Omethoate is an organophosphorus pesticide, and along with other pesticides of this class, its mode of insecticidal action is through inhibition of cholinesterase (ChE) activity. Omethoate and its products are being reviewed because of their toxicity and occupational health and safety concerns, as well as matters related to residues and trade. Omethoate exists as a pesticide in its own right, but is also a toxic metabolite of dimethoate. The OHS review of omethoate has therefore been conducted in conjunction with the dimethoate review.

Omethoate has high acute oral toxicity and moderate dermal and inhalational toxicity in rats. It is not a skin irritant but a slight eye irritant and a skin sensitiser. For omethoate, the inhibition of plasma, RBC and brain ChE activity was the most sensitive toxicological endpoint following repeated oral or dermal exposure in mammals. Since mixing/loading and spraying the product will result in exposure via the skin and via inhalation, NOELs for ChE inhibition derived from short-term dermal and inhalation studies in rats were used for the risk assessment.

No chemical specific exposure data was available. Based exclusively on PHED surrogate exposure modelling, the risk assessment suggests that the label prescribed PPE is insufficiently protective for workers involved in mixing/loading and spraying of omethoate products. However, exposure can be reduced to acceptable levels by using closed mixing/loading systems and the PPE and engineering controls which have been assigned in this report.

Following application to crops, workers may be exposed to omethoate foliar residues when undertaking post-application (re-entry) activities. To mitigate exposure, workers should wear PPE until the residues dissipate to acceptable levels. Re-entry intervals (REI) have been assigned for all label crops in this report.

1. INTRODUCTION

Omethoate is an organophosphorus chemical used in domestic and agricultural situations. Applications for omethoate include crop protection and pest control in domestic and commercial farming areas. Omethoate is one of the 80 agricultural and veterinary chemicals identified for priority review under the APVMA's Chemical Review Program (CRP). Omethoate was nominated for review because of concerns over its high acute toxicity and its potential to cause chronic effects on human health.

This occupational health and safety (OHS) review (as updated in June 2015), is based on information obtained from the following sources: data submitted by industry, published studies, and the Review of the Mammalian Toxicology and Metabolism/Toxicokinetics of omethoate, prepared by the Office of Chemical Safety (OCS) in October 2005 and updated in November 2011.

Only the agricultural products with the potential for occupational exposure have been considered in this assessment.

2. OMETHOATE PRODUCTS AND THEIR USE PATTERNS

There are currently eighteen omethoate products registered by APVMA for agricultural use (see below). An additional product, a 350 g home garden (HG) aerosol product, containing 2 g/kg omethoate, has no commercial application and has been assessed for risks posed by label uses in the draft OCS Review of Mammalian Toxicology and Metabolism/Toxicokinetics (DoH, 2011) and remain appropriate.

The omethoate product types are shown in the following table:

| Product type | Number of registered products | Omethoate Conc. |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Home garden (HG AE) | 1 | 2 g/kg |
| Horticultural and broadacre uses (LC/SL) | 4 | 800 g/L |
| Broadacre uses (AC/LC/SL) | 14 | 290 g/L |

AC = aqueous concentrate, LC = liquid concentrate, SL = soluble concentrate, AE = aerosol, HG = home/garden

Product Labels

800 g/L products (LC/SL)

The 800 g/L products are used in the control of certain pests on cotton, apples, bananas, citrus, lupins, onions, pears, potatoes and ornamentals (see Table 1). The products are intended for application by boom spray, airblast, hand-held equipment or by aircraft. The products are applied as a dilute spray at 50-75 mL/100L (0.4-0.6% omethoate active) or as a concentrated spray at no greater than 5 times the dilute spraying rate to various crops when pests are first seen, and to be repeated if required. For dilute spraying, where spray volumes per hectare are not indicated on the product label, the OCS used default spray volumes of 2500 L/ha for pome fruits and 5000 L/ha for citrus based on information provided by the APVMA. For concentrate spraying, the label rate is 500 L/ha, applied at 225 mL/100 L of the spray (0.06% of the active omethoate).

For bananas, the product may be applied by bell injection at 50 mL/5L (30-40 mL/bell) or as an individual plant treatment at 125 mL/100L (throat treatment, 500 mL/plant). For these methods, a hand gun on retractable hose (bike mounted) or a knapsack using a long pole with

a sharp needle nozzle attachment are used. These applications will be once in a year and may be repeated if required. Use patterns for 800~g/L products are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Use patterns for 800 g/L products

| Crop | Pest | Application rate (product) | Working strength of the active constituent | Comments | |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Apples | Two spotted | 75 mL/100L | 0.06% | Spray thoroughly by | |
| Pears | mites | | 1500 g/ha (2500 L/ha) | airblast at beginning of mite build up. Repeat at | |
| | European red mite | | (if 30 ha; 45 kg a.c/day) | beginning of renewed mite activity. | |
| | Woolly aphid | | | | |
| Citrus | California red scale | 50 mL/100L | 0.04% | Apply thoroughly by airblast as a full cover | |
| | | | 2000 g/ha(5000 L/ha) | spray between January | |
| | Aphids | | (If 30ha; 60 kg a.c./day) | and early March | |
| Glen Retreat | California red | 65 mL/100L | 0.05% | Apply thoroughly by | |
| mandarins | scale | | 2600 g/ha(5000 L/ha) | airblast as a full cover spray. Apply two sprays | |
| | | | (If 30 ha; 78 kg a.c./day) | one in early December and one in late January | |
| Cotton | Thrips | 140-280 mL/ha | 224 g/ha, maximum | Apply by boomspray or | |
| | Mirids | | (Boom spray 120 ha | aircraft | |
| | Aphids | | 26.88 kg active) | | |
| | Jassids | | (Aerial 1200 ha; 268.8 kg a.c./day) | | |
| Bananas | Corky scab | Bell injection 50 | 40 g/5 L | Apply to the emerging | |
| | caused by flower thrips | mL/5 L Individual plant treatment 125 mL/100L | (up to 80 g/day) 100 g/100L | bell | |
| | , r | | | Apply 500 mL of spray mix to the throat of the | |
| | | | (1000 plants; 500 g a.c./day) | plant at the bunch leaf stage (flower thrips activity time) | |
| | | | | By handheld or knapsack equipment | |
| Lupins | Blue green | 250 mL/ha | 200 g/ha | Can be applied ULV in | |
| | aphid, cowpea aphid, green peach aphid (WA only) | | (Boom spray 50 ha; 10kg a.c./day) | water | |
| Onions | Thrips | 700 mL/ha | 560 g/ha | Apply thoroughly as a | |
| | | | (Boom spray and handheld 30 and 3 ha, 16.8 kg active and 1.68 kg a.c./day) | full cover spray | |
| | Lucerne flea | 35 mL/ha | 28 g/ha | | |
| | | | (Boom spray and handheld 30 and 3 ha, 0.84 kg active and 0.084 | | |

| | | | kg a.c./day) | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|---|--|
| Potatoes | Aphids | 75 mL/100L | 0.06% | Spray when pests are |
| | | | 900 g/ha | first seen and repeat when necessary |
| | | | (Aerial and boom spray, 300 and 30 ha, 270 kg active and 27 kg a.c./day) | , |
| Ornamentals | Aphids, lace | 75 mL/100L | 5 mL/100L 0.06% | Apply thoroughly as a |
| (including | bugs, mealy bugs, mites, | | 900 g/ha (0.9 kg/ha) | full cover spray |
| eucalyptus spp) | thrips, whiteflies | | (Handheld and knapsack 1 ha and 0.2 ha, 0.9 kg active and 0.18 kg a.c./day) | Repeat at beginning of renewed pest activity |
| | | | (Aerial for eucalyptus spp. 1200 ha: 1080 kg a.c./day) | |

Information provided to OCS indicated that the 800 g/L products have relatively few uses in ornamentals and nursery production. The Safety Directions for 800 g/L AC products at the initiation of the review are as follows:

| AC 500-800 g/L | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 100 101 | Very dangerous, particularly the concentrate |
| 120 121 130 131 132 133 | Product and spray are poisonous if absorbed by skin contact or inhaled or swallowed |
| 180 | Repeated exposure may cause allergic disorders |
| 190 | Repeated minor exposure may have a cumulative poisoning effect |
| 181 | Sensitive workers should use protective clothing |
| 210 211 212 | Avoid contact with eyes, skin and clothing |
| 220 223 | Do not inhale spray mist |
| 279 281 290 292 294 296 | When preparing the spray, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist and a washable hat, elbow length PVC gloves and face shield |
| 340 342 | If product on skin, immediately wash area with soap and water |
| 350 | After use and before eating, drinking or smoking, wash hands, arms and face thoroughly with soap and water |
| 360 361 362 366 | After each day's use, wash gloves, face shield and contaminated clothing. |

290 g/L products (AC/LC/SL)

The 290 g/L products are used for the control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat mite and lucerne flea on pastures, cereals, oilseed and legume crops, and bluegreen aphid and cowpea aphid, on pasture legumes, lucerne, faba beans and vetch. They are applied by boom spray or by aircraft. Use patterns for 290 g/L products are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Use patterns for 290 g/L products

| Crop | Pest | Application rate (product) | Working strength of the active constituent | Comments |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Pastures, | Redlegged | 100 mL/ha to 120 | 29 to 34.8 g/ha | Spray mite infested |
| cereals, oilseed and legume crops | earth mite Blue oat mite | mL/ha | (Boom spray 50 ha 1.74 kg active) | pastures and legumes to prevent damage, yield loss and to reduce |
| | Lucerne flea | (Aerial 1200 ha; 41.76kg a.c./day) | infestation pressure in the following autumn, product may be added to pasture herbicides | |
| | | | | Spray on appearance and before damage occurs. If second hatching occurs a follow up spray may be required |
| | Pasture mite | | | Spray on appearance and before damage occurs |
| Pasture, | gumes, aphid mL/ha ucerne, Faba eans, Vetch | 100 ml or 200 mL/ha | 29 to 58 g/ha | Spray when aphids stars |
| legumes, Lucerne, Faba | | | (Boom spray 50 ha 2.9 kg active) | to build up |
| ocans, veten | | | (Aerial 1200 ha; 69.6 kg a.c./day) | |
| | | 200 mL/ha | 58 g/ha | |
| | Competi apma | 200 1112/114 | (Boom spray 50 ha 2.9 kg active) | |
| | | | (Aerial 1200 ha; 69.6 kg a.c./day) | |
| Pasture | Spotted clover | - | | Apply at first sign of |
| | aphid | | (Boom spray 50 ha 1.45 kg active) | aphid activity |
| | | | (Aerial 1200 ha; 34.8 kg a.c./day) | |
| Barrier spraying | Redlegged | 300 mL/ha | 87 g/ha | To prevent invasion, |
| | earth mite | | (Boom spray 50 ha 2.9 kg active) | spray bare earth outside crop as well as weeds along the fence line |
| Poppy | Redlegged | 100 mL/ha | 29 g/ha | Spray on appearance and |
| | earth mite | | (Boom spray 50 ha 1.45 kg active) | before damage occurs |

The Safety Directions for 290 g/L AC products, at the initiation of this review are as follows:

| AC 290 g/L or less | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 120 121 | Product and spray are poisonous if absorbed by skin contact, inhaled or |
| 130 131 132 133 | swallowed |
| 161 162 | Will irritate the eyes |
| 180 | Repeated exposure may cause allergic disorders |
| 190 | Repeated minor exposure may have a cumulative poisoning effect |
| 210 211 | Avoid contact with eyes and skin |
| 220 223 | Do not inhale spray mist |
| 279 281 282 290 292 294 296 (293 | When preparing and using the spray, wear cotton overalls buttoned to |
| 298 (seed dressing only) | the neck and wrist and a washable hat, elbow length PVC gloves and |
| | face shield (PVC or rubber apron and impervious footwear, seed |
| | dressing only) |
| 330 332 | If clothing becomes contaminated with product, remove clothing |
| | immediately |
| 340 342 | If product on skin, immediately wash area with soap and water |
| 340 343 | If product in eyes, wash it out immediately with water |
| 350 | After use and before eating, drinking or smoking, wash hands, arms |
| | and face thoroughly with soap and water |
| 360 361 362 366 | After each day's use, wash gloves, face shield and contaminated |
| | clothing. |

Label restrictions

The following withholding periods for 800 g/L products are specified on the product labels

| Crops | WHP |
|--------------------------|--|
| Lupins, onions | Do not harvest for 14 days after application |
| Cotton | Do not harvest for 21 days after application |
| Bananas (bell injection) | Do not harvest for 6 weeks after application |
| Bananas (throat spray) | Do not harvest for 4 days after application |
| Other edible crops | Do not harvest for 7 days after application |

For the 290 g/L products, Do not graze or cut for stock food for 1 day after application (Withholding period).

Re-entry interval

The existing re-entry interval on the 800 g/L product labels as follows:

"Do not allow entry into treated areas for 1 day after treatment. When prior entry is necessary, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist and a washable hat, chemical resistant gloves and impervious footwear. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use".

There are no re-entry statements on the 290 g/L product labels.

3. TOXICOLOGICAL HAZARDS OF OMETHOATE

Acute toxicity

Omethoate acts by inhibiting cholinesterase (ChE) enzymes in the blood and central and peripheral nervous systems. In lethal-dose studies, the oral LD₅₀ for omethoate in rats ranged from 25 to 65 mg/kg bw in rats (high toxicity). In mice, the oral LD₅₀ was 36 mg/kg bw. The dermal LD₅₀ was from >250 and <500 mg/kg bw in rats. The dermal study that most closely approximated modern guidelines (Flucke, 1978 as cited in the OCS Tox report), resulted in an LD₅₀ of 865 mg/kg bw in female rats following 24 h exposure under semi-occlusive dressings, with females slightly more sensitive than males. In rats, the acute inhalational LC₅₀ was 220-425 mg/m³ and in mice, the LC₅₀ was 140 mg/m³ for 4-hour exposure. The effects of acute omethoate intoxication were consistent with those seen for other organophosphorus insecticides, and included lacrimation, tremors, muscle spasms, laboured breathing and behavioural disturbances. Omethoate was a slight eye irritant but not a skin irritant, and was a skin sensitiser in guinea pigs.

Reproductive toxicity/carcinogenicity

As described in the OCS review of mammalian toxicology of omethoate there are no carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity endpoints of concern (DoH, 2011).

Dose levels relevant for OHS risk assessment

The most sensitive toxicological endpoint for omethoate in laboratory animals following repeated dosing was the inhibition of plasma and RBC ChE activity and is therefore the most appropriate toxicological endpoint for OHS risk assessment purposes. In terms of duration of exposure, the inhibition of plasma ChE activity is maximal within days to weeks of dosing. Therefore repeat dose studies ranging from weeks to months are the most appropriate for establishing NOELs for OHS risk assessment purposes. Chronic studies are likely to generate conservative NOELs due to the dose selection rather than any difference in toxicokinetics with shorter exposures. The following Table summarises the NOELs/LOELs in laboratory studies deemed suitable for OHS risk assessment purposes (noting that there were no human studies available for assessment).

Studies Relevant for OHS risk assessment purposes

| Species | Study type | NOEL | LOEL | Toxicological endpoint | Reference |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Rabbits | 3-week dermal | 2.5 mg/kg bw/day | 20 mg/kg bw/day | Plasma, RBC and brain ChE inhibition | Flucke and Luckhaus |
| Rats | 3-week inhalational | 0.96 mg/m ³ (0.26 mg/kg | 2.3 mg/m ³ (0.63 mg/kg | Plasma, RBC and brain ChE inhibition | (1979) Thyssen, (1979) |
| | | bw/day)* | bw/day)* | | |

^{*}mg/kg bw/day = $mg/m^3/1000 (mg/L) \times 0.141 (L/min, respiratory rate of rat) \times 60 (min) \times 6 (hr/day)/0.19kg (weight of rats in the study)$

4. TOXICOLOGICAL ENDPOINTS FOR THE OHS RISK ASSESSMENT

Omethoate products intended for professional use are most likely to be applied by farmers and horticulturalists. Depending on pest activity, farmers may use omethoate products on few days during the working week. Potential routes of exposure would be dermal contact and inhalation

of omethoate vapour when handling undiluted products and/or inhalation of omethoate spray mist during application of diluted product.

Dermal and inhalation NOELs are considered appropriate for assessment of occupational exposure, using data generated over timescales appropriate to the likely frequency and duration of exposure. In order to perform an occupational risk assessment, careful consideration needs to be given to the selection of the appropriate toxicological endpoint and duration of dosing. The most relevant toxicological end-point for both dermal and inhalation occupational exposure to omethoate is plasma and RBC ChE inhibition.

4.1 Dermal NOEL

Normal occupational use of products containing omethoate is expected to result in some systemic uptake following dermal exposure. As user exposure to these products is expected to be of short duration and intermittent, the NOEL of 2.5 mg/kg bw/day in a 3-week dermal study in rats will be used for the occupational risk assessment.

4.2 Inhalation NOEC

Inhalational exposure to omethoate in an agricultural setting would most probably arise from inhalation of spray mist. The pattern and frequency of exposure would be the same as for dermal exposure.

As user exposure to these products is expected to be of short duration and intermittent, the NOEC of 0.96 mg/m³ (equivalent to a systemic dose of 0.26 mg/kg bw/day) in a 3-week inhalation study in rats, will be used for the occupational risk assessment.

4.3 NOEL for re-entry interval calculation

As re-entry exposure is expected to be of short duration and intermittent, the NOEL of 2.5 mg/kg bw/day in a 3-week dermal study in rats will be used for the re-entry risk assessment.

5. ASSESSMENT OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE AND RISKS DURING PRODUCT USE

5.1 Evaluation of exposure studies

There are no exposure studies available.

5.2 PHED estimation of occupational exposure

The main route of occupational exposure to omethoate is expected to be by skin contamination during mixing/loading/spraying or applying the AC/LC/SL products. Inhalation of spray mist may occur during spray application. Occupational exposure for the following agricultural scenarios was assessed using the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED, US EPA, 1999).

Scenario (1) Mixing and loading AC/LC/SL formulations (800 g/L and 290 g/L omethoate)

Scenario (2) Outdoor application of AC/LC/SL formulations by boom spray (800 g/L and 290 g/L omethoate)

Scenario (3) Outdoor application of LC/SL formulation by airblast (800 g/L omethoate)

Scenario (4) Application of AC/LC/SL formulation by aircraft (800 g/L and 290 g/L omethoate)

Scenario (5) Application of LC/SL formulation to bananas and ornamentals (800 g/L omethoate)

Scenario (1): Mixing and loading AC/LC/SL formulations (800 g/L and 290 g/L Omethoate)

Mixing and loading of products containing 800 g/L and 290 g/L omethoate, are used for the following application methods. The maximum quantities of omethoate active used per day are:

| Boom spray: | 50 ha (27 kg of omethoate) for 800 g/L and 290 g/L products (note up to 120 ha for cotton <28 kg a.c./day). | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Airblast spray: | 30 ha (78 kg of omethoate) for 800 g/L products only. | | | |
| Aerial application: | Field crops: 1200 ha (69.6 kg omethoate) (information from the data provider) for 290 g/L products only. Cotton: 1200 ha (268.8 kg omethoate) (information from APVMA) for 800 g/L products only | | | |
| | Potatoes: 300 ha (270 kg omethoate) 800g/L product Eucalyptus: 1200 ha (1080 kg omethoate) (information from APVMA) for 800 g/L products only | | | |
| Handheld high pressure spray: | Flowers: (0.9 kg of omethoate) or on bananas, 1000 trees (0.5 kg of omethoate) for 800 g/L products only. | | | |
| Knapsack spray: | Ornamentals: 0.2 ha (0.18 kg of omethoate) for 800 g/L products only. | | | |

Scenario (2) Outdoor application of AC/LC/SL formulations by boom spray

The 800 g/L products may be applied as dilute or concentrate spray by boom spray. The 290 g/L products can also be applied by boom spray. The maximum quantity of omethoate active used is 50 ha (27 kg of omethoate).

Scenario (3) Outdoor application of LC/SL formulation by airblast

The 800 g/L products may be applied as dilute or concentrate spray by airblast. The maximum quantity of omethoate active used is 30 ha (78 kg of omethoate).

Scenario (4) Application of AC/LC/SL formulations by aircraft

The 290 g/L products may be applied by aircraft to broadacre crops. The maximum quantities of omethoate active used are 69.6 kg (1200 ha).

Additionally, the 800g/L products can be applied by air (potatoes or forestry eucalypts) at a rate of 75 mL/100 L. Maximum quantities of omethoate active used are 1080 kg (1200 ha) for eucalyptus, and 270 kg for cotton and potatoes (300 ha/day).

Scenario (5) Application of LC/SL formulation by hand held equipment

The 800 g/L products are applied to bananas by two methods. In the first method, bell injection, 50 mL (40 g of omethoate) of the product are mixed into 5 L of water and 40-60 mL (around 0.4 g of omethoate) of this mixture is injected into the bell using a long pole with a sharp needle nozzle attachment (to a depth of 30-50 mm). This scenario cannot be modelled using PHED surrogate data.

The second method of application involves use of a hand gun fitted with a flat fan nozzle attached to a retractable hose (bike mounted/knapsack) (information supplied by the data provider). For this method, individual plant treatment is carried out by mixing 125 mL (100 g of omethoate) of the product in 100 L of water and 500 mL (0.5 g of omethoate, 0.5 kg for 1000 trees) and applying to the throat of the plant at the bunch leaf stage. This scenario can be modelled using PHED high pressure handheld method of application.

For ornamentals, products are applied by the high pressure handheld method (0.9 kg of omethoate).

For scenarios 1-5, PHED was used to estimate dermal and inhalational exposure for workers using both omethoate products. PHED does not have data to estimate exposure by bell injection in bananas, but for individual plant treatment (application to the throat of the plant) PHED was used to estimate exposure from high pressure handheld and knapsack application.

The following information gives the default number of hectares treated and the quantities of omethoate used for boomspray and airblast methods of application. For aerial spray and handheld methods, information is obtained from the data provider.

Area(s) treated per scenario for omethoate

Exposure scenario and equipment/usage value units

Mixer/Loader

Scenario 1 - Mixing/loading liquids for:

- a) Groundboom spray 50 ha/day 120 ha/day (for cotton)
- b) Airblast spray 30 ha/day
- c) High pressure handheld spray 1 ha/day
- d) Knapsack spray 0.2 ha/day
- e) Aerial spray 300 ha/day (potatoes) 1200 ha/day (Eucalyptus)

Applicators

Scenario 2 - Applying sprays

- a) Groundboom spray 50 ha/day 120 ha/day (for cotton)
- b) Airblast spray 30 ha/day
- c) High pressure handheld spray 1 ha/day
- d) Knapsack spray 0.2 ha/day
- e) Aerial spray 300 ha/day (potatoes) 1200 ha/day (Eucalyptus)

The following parameters and assumptions were used for PHED.

Formulation type = All liquid formulations.

Mixing/loading = Open and closed mixing/loading for mechanical ground and aerial spraying.

Open mixing/loading for high pressure handward and knapsack spraying.

Spray application:

Ground (mechanical) application = Groundboom and airblast

Aerial application = Fixed wing aerial application.

Hand held application = High pressure hand wand and knapsack application

PHED does not take into consideration the container size or spray volume. Exposure to the chemical is estimated based on the amount of omethoate handled per day.

Amount of ai used/day for:

groundboom application is 27 kg [50 ha/day, cotton 120 ha/day].

airblast application is 78 kg [30 ha/day].

aerial spray is dependent on the concentration of the product and the daily application rate: For 290 g/L products: 69.6 kg [1200 ha]. For 800 g/L products: 270 kg [cotton, 1200 ha; potatoes, 300 ha] up to 1080 kg [eucalyptus, 1200 ha].

high pressure hand held application is 0.9~kg/day for flowers [1500 L spray/day] and 0.5~kg/500~mL/day for 1000 banana plants.

knapsack spray is 0.18 kg/day (for ornamentals) [0.2 ha/day].

Other input parameters used in PHED are included in the following table:

| Adult bodyweight | 70 kg | OCS default |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Normal work day | 8 h with an application period | OCS default |
| | of 6 h | |
| Penetration through overalls | 10% | Stamper et al. (1989) |
| Penetration through chemical- | 5% | Thongsinthusak et al. (1993) |
| resistant full body clothing | | |
| Penetration through chemical- | 10% | Thongsinthusak et al. (1993) |
| resistant gloves | | |
| Protection afforded by half face- | 90% | Thongsinthusak et al. (1993) |
| piece respirator with gas/dust | | |
| cartridges | | |
| Protection afforded by full face- | 98% | Thongsinthusak et al. (1993) |
| piece respirator with gas/dust | | |
| cartridges | | |
| Penetration through a washable | 10% | Thongsinthusak et al. (1993) |
| hat (head and neck only) | | |

PHED estimates for dermal and inhalation exposure for various application methods are presented in the Table 3.

Table 3: Dermal and inhalation exposure estimates

| Exposure Scenario Equipment | Dermal Unit Exposure (mg/kg ai) (body+hands) | Dermal Replicates | Hand Replicates | Inhalation Unit Exposure (mg/kg ai) | Inhalation Replicates |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | | Mixer/I | Loader | | |
| Open mixing/loading (no gloves) | 6.39 | 72-122 | 53 | 0.0026 | 85 |
| Open mixing/loading (gloves) | 0.05 | 72-122 | 59 | 0.0026 | 85 |
| Closed mixing/loading (no gloves) | (0.05) | 16-22 | 0 | 0.0002 | 27 |
| Closed mixing/loading (gloves) | 0.019 | 16-22 | 31 | 0.0002 | 27 |
| | | Appli | cator | | |
| | | Boomspray | | | |
| Applicator, open cab (no gloves) | 0.03 | 23-42 | 29 | 0.0016 | 22 |
| Applicator, open cab (gloves) | 0.03 | 23-42 | 21 | 0.0016 | 22 |
| Applicator, closed cab (no gloves) | 0.011 | 20-31 | 16 | 0.0001 | 16 |
| Applicator, closed cab, (gloves) | 0.011 | 10-31 | 12 | 0.0001 | 16 |
| | | Airblast a | oplication | | |
| Applicator, open cab (no gloves) | 0.79 | 32-49 | 22 | 0.0016 | 22 |
| Applicator, open cab (gloves) | 0.53 | 31-49 | 18 | 0.0016 | 22 |
| Applicator, closed cab (no gloves) | (0.13) | 20-30 | 0 | 0.0001 | 16 |
| Applicator, closed cab, (gloves) | 0.041 | 20-30 | 20 | 0.0001 | 16 |
| | | Aerial ap | plication | | |
| Aerial-fixed wing/enclosed cockpit (no gloves) | 0.01 | 24-48 | 24 | 0.0002 | 23 |
| Aerial-fixed wing/enclosed cockpit (gloves) | 0.005 | 24-48 | 7 | 0.0002 | 23 |
| | | Hand-held a | application | | |
| High pressure hand wand (no gloves) | 3.97 | 9-11 | 2 | 0.17 | 11 |
| High pressure hand wand (gloves) | 1.41 | 9-11 | 9 | 0.17 | 11 |
| | <u>.</u> | Knapsack a | pplication | | |
| Backpack (no gloves) | 1064.3 | 69 | 60 | 0.728 | 40 |
| Backpack (gloves) | (516.7) | 69 | 0 | 0.728 | 40 |

^{*}Each study in PHED has been graded from "A" to "E" according to the quality of the study. PHED runs having any combination of A and B grade or A, B and C grade data are listed as 'Grade AB' or Grade ABC, respectively. Studies for mixing/loading, boomspray application and airblast application were of AB Grade and of medium to high confidence. Studies for handheld applications were of ABC Grade and low confidence.

For all exposure measurements, workers wore long pants and long sleeve shirt.

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

In the absence of measured exposure data, PHED was used to estimate worker exposure, using relevant exposure scenarios.

The PHED exposure data for mixing/loading and boom spray and airblast application were generally from high quality studies (AB grade and 15 or more replicates for each body part). However, for high pressure hand-held applications and for combined mixing/loading and application (for all application methods), PHED studies were of either medium or low quality grade and of low confidence (ABC grade and/or less than 15 replicates per body part). Exposure for the combined tasks (mixing/loading and application) were therefore estimated by adding exposure during mixing/loading and application carried out separately.

PHED does not contain surrogate exposure data for bell injection (in bananas). Exposure for this application method therefore could not be quantified. For this use pattern a qualitative exposure assessment was undertaken (discussed in the risk management section, 5.4).

5.3 Occupational Risk Characterization

The exposure estimates from PHED were used to generate the MOEs for omethoate each use scenario.

The MOE is calculated using the following formula:

MOE = NOEL (mg/kg bw/day) / Daily Dose (mg/kg bw/day)

Considering that the NOELs used in the risk assessment were established for the same toxicological end-point (i.e. plasma cholinesterase inhibition), MOEs were combined for both routes to obtain a total risk estimate for occupational exposure.

As both NOELs were derived from laboratory animals, a MOE of 100 or more is considered acceptable. This MOE takes into account inter- (10x) and intra-species (10x) variability.

Tables 4 to 9 summarise the MOEs for dermal and inhalation exposures to omethoate from mixing/loading and application exposures individually and combined for the various scenarios identified in section 5.2.

Table 4: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for $ground\ boom\ application$ of 290 g/L and 800 g/L products.

| Scenarios | Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) | | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | | Mixer | /loader | 1 | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 2.53 | 0.002 | 1 | 246 | <1 |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.02 | 0.002 | 125 | 246 | 82 |
| Closed M/L (no gloves) | (0.021) | 0.00008 | (120) | 3552 | 116 |
| Closed M/L (gloves) | 0.008 | 0.00008 | 330 | 3552 | 302 |
| | | Appl | icator | | |
| Open cab, no gloves | 0.013 | 0.0007 | 199 | 398 | 133 |
| Open cab, gloves | 0.012 | 0.0007 | 202 | 398 | 134 |
| Closed cab, no gloves | 0.004 | 0.00004 | 565 | 6857 | 522 |
| Closed cabs, gloves | 0.004 | 0.00004 | 558 | 6857 | 516 |
| | | Mixer/loade | er/applicate | or | |
| Closed M/L, open cabs; gloves | 0.024 | 0.0011 | 101 | 237 | 71 |
| Closed M/L, closed cabs; no gloves | 0.025 | 0.0001 | 99 | 240 | 95 |
| Closed M/L, closed cabs; gloves | 0.012 | 0.00012 | 208 | 2340 | 191 |

M/L = mixing/loading

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (27 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED (mg/kg ai handled/day) x 27 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose) and Inhalation MOE = (inhalation NOEL (0.26 mg/kg bw/day)/total inhalation dose)

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE

Exposure for the combined task (mixing/loading/application) was obtained by adding exposures during mixing/loading and applications.

Table 5: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *airblast application* of 800 g/L products.

| Scenarios | Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) | | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | | Mixer/le | oader | | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 7.0166 | 0.0029 | <1 | 288 | <1 |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.0565 | 0.0029 | 44 | 88 | 29 |
| Closed M/L (no gloves) | 0.0582 | 0.0002 | 43 | 1275 | 42 |
| Closed M/L (gloves) | 0.0211 | 0.0002 | 119 | 1275 | 109 |
| | | Applic | ator | | |
| Open cab, no gloves | 0.3 | 0.004 | 8 | 65 | 7 |
| Open cab, gloves | 0.2 | 0.004 | 13 | 65 | 10 |
| Closed cab, no gloves | 0.3317 | 0.001 | 8 | 235 | 7 |
| Closed cabs, gloves | 0.0465 | 0.0001 | 54 | 235 | 44 |
| Closed cab, gloves + PPE | 0.033 | < 0.0001 | 75 | 2352 | 73 |
| | | Mixer/loader | /applicator | | |
| Open M/L, closed cabs; gloves | 0.103 | 0.004 | 24 | 64 | 18 |
| Open M/L, closed cabs; gloves + PPE | 0.054 | 0.0004 | 47 | 3207 | 46 |
| Closed M/L, closed cabs; gloves | 0.068 | 0.0013 | 37 | 199 | 31 |
| Closed M/L, closed cabs; gloves + PPE | 0.039 | 0.0001 | 64 | 1986 | 62 |

PPE = Second layer of clothing over normal clothes and a washable hat plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges (During M/L and Application).

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (78 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED

(mg/kg ai handled/day) x 78 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose).

Inhalation MOE = (inhalation NOEL (0.26 mg/kg bw/day)/total inhalation dose).

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE.

Exposure for the combined task (mixing/loading/application) was obtained by adding exposures during mixing/loading and applications.

Table 6: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *aerial application* of 290 g/L products (cereals, legumes, lucerne, oilseeds, pasture and poppies).

| Scenarios | Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) | | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | | Mixer/lo | oader | - | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 6.2610 | 0.0026 | <1 | 99 | <1 |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.0504 | 0.0026 | 50 | 99 | 33 |
| Open M/L, gloves + PPE | 0.0183 | 0.0001 | 137 | 4942 | 133 |
| Closed M/L (no gloves) | 0.0520 | 0.0002 | 48 | 1429 | 47 |
| Closed M/L (gloves) | 0.0188 | 0.0002 | 133 | 1429 | 122 |
| | Applicator | | | | |
| Aerial, no gloves | 0.0110 | 0.0001 | 228 | 1744 | 202 |

PPE = Second layer of clothing over normal clothes and a washable hat plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges (During M/L).

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (69.6 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED (mg/kg ai handled/day) x 69.6 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose).

Inhalation MOE = (inhalation NOEL (0.26 mg/kg bw/day)/total inhalation dose).

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE.

Table 7a: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *aerial applications* of 800 g/L products (eucalyptus).

| Scenarios | Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) | | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|---|----------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Mixer/loa | ader | 1 | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 97.1527 | 0.0408 | <1 | 6 | <1 |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.7816 | 0.0408 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| Open M/L, gloves + PPE | 0.2836 | 0.0008 | 9 | 318 | 9 |
| Closed M/L (no gloves) | 0.8061 | 0.0028 | 3 | 92 | 3 |
| Closed M/L (gloves) | 0.2918 | 0.0028 | 9 | 92 | 8 |
| Closed M/L (gloves) + PPE | 0.0806 | 0.0001 | 31 | 4605 | 31 |
| | Applicator | | | | |
| Aerial, no gloves | 0.1703 | 0.0023 | 15 | 112 | 13 |
| Aerial, gloves | 0.0743 | 0.0023 | 34 | 112 | 26 |
| Aerial, gloves + second layer of clothing | 0.0210 | 0.0023 | 119 | 112 | 58 |

PPE = Second layer of clothing over normal clothes and a washable hat plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges (During M/L).

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (1080 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED

(mg/kg ai handled/day) x 1080 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose).

Inhalation MOE = (inhalation NOEL (0.26 mg/kg bw/day)/total inhalation dose).

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE.

Table 7b: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *aerial applications* of 800 g/L products (cotton and potatoes).

| Scenarios | Exposure | | Dermal | Inhalation | Total MOE |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| | (mg/k Dermal | g bw/day) Inhalation | MOE | MOE | |
| | | Mixer/lo | ader | | |
| | | 1/11/10/10 | udei | | |
| Open M/L, no | 24.1802 | 0.0102 | <1 | 26 | <1 |
| gloves | | | | | |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.1945 | 0.0102 | 13 | 26 | 9 |
| Open M/L, gloves + | 0.0706 | 0.0002 | 35 | 1280 | 34 |
| PPE | | | | | |
| Closed M/L (no | 0.2006 | 0.0007 | 12 | 370 | 12 |
| gloves) | | | | | |
| Closed M/L | 0.0726 | 0.0007 | 34 | 370 | 31 |
| (gloves) | | | | | |
| Closed M/L | 0.0201 | 0.0000 | 125 | 18501 | 124 |
| (gloves) + PPE | | | | | |
| Applicator | | | | | |
| Aerial, no gloves | 0.0424 | 0.0006 | 59 | 452 | 52 |
| Aerial, gloves | 0.0185 | 0.0006 | 135 | 452 | 104 |

PPE = Second layer of clothing over normal clothes and a washable hat plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges (During M/L).

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (270 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED (mg/kg ai handled/day) x 270 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose).

 $Inhalation\ MOE = (inhalation\ NOEL\ (0.26\ mg/kg\ bw/day)/total\ inhalation\ dose).$

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE.

Table 8: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *high pressure handheld application* of 800 g/L products

| Scenarios | Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) | | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | | Mixer | loader | | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 0.081 | 0.00003 | 31 | 7644 | 31 |
| Open M/L, gloves | 0.0007 | 0.00003 | 3838 | 7644 | 2555 |
| | | Appl | icator | | |
| High pressure, no gloves | 0.51 | 0.002 | 5 | 12 | 3 |
| High pressure, gloves | 0.18 | 0.002 | 14 | 12 | 6 |
| High pressure, gloves +PPE | 0.02 | 0.0004 | 127 | 581 | 104 |
| | | Mixer/loade | r/applicato | or | |
| Open M/L, high Pressure; no gloves | 0.59 | 0.002 | 4 | 12 | 3 |
| Open M/L, high pressure; gloves | 0.18 | 0.002 | 14 | 12 | 6 |
| Open M/L, high pressure; gloves +PPE | 0.02 | 0.0005 | 125 | 540 | 102 |

M/L = mixing/loading

 $PPE = Second\ layer\ of\ clothing\ over\ normal\ clothes\ and\ a\ washable\ hat\ (during\ M/L\ and\ Application)\ plus\ a\ full\ face-piece\ respirator\ with\ gas/dust\ cartridges\ (during\ application\ only)$

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (0.9 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

 $Daily\ dermal\ or\ inhalation\ exposure\ (mg\ ai/kg\ bw/day) = arithmetic\ mean\ exposure\ obtained\ from\ PHED$

(mg/kg ai handled/day) x 0.9 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose).

Inhalation MOE = (inhalation NOEL (0.26 mg/kg bw/day)/total inhalation dose).

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE.

Exposure for the combined task (mixing/loading/application) was obtained by adding exposures during mixing/loading and applications.

Table 9: Occupational exposure to omethoate estimated from PHED data-subsets, dermal MOE, inhalation MOE and total MOE for *knapsack application* of 800 g/L products

| Scenarios | | xposure kg bw/day) | Dermal MOE | Inhalation MOE | Total MOE |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Dermal | Inhalation | | | |
| | l | Mixer/lo | ader | | |
| Open M/L, no gloves | 0.016 | <0.00001 | 154 | 3820 | 154 |
| | | Applica | tor | | |
| Backpack, no gloves | 2.74 | 0.002 | 1 | 139 | 1 |
| Backpack, gloves | 1.33 | 0.002 | 2 | 139 | 2 |
| Backpack, gloves + PPE | 0.27 | < 0.0001 | 9 | 6949 | 9 |
| | Mixer/loader/applicator | | | | |
| Open M/L, backpack; gloves | 1.33 | 0.002 | 2 | 138 | 2 |
| Open M/L backpack; gloves + PPE | 0.27 | <0.0001 | 9 | 6924 | 9 |

 $PPE = Second\ layer\ of\ clothing\ over\ normal\ clothes\ plus\ a\ full\ face-piece\ respirator\ with\ gas/dust\ cartridges\ (During\ M/L\ and\ Application).$

Dermal and inhalation exposures are adjusted for total active ingredient handled per day (0.18 kg).

Values in parentheses represent estimates where observations on hand contamination were not available.

Daily dermal or inhalation exposure (mg ai/kg bw/day) =arithmetic mean exposure obtained from PHED

(mg/kg ai handled/day) x 0.18 kg ai÷70 kg (bw).

Dermal MOE = (Dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day)/total dermal dose)

 $Inhalation\ MOE = (inhalation\ NOEL\ (0.26\ mg/kg\ bw/day)/total\ inhalation\ dose)$

Total MOE were calculated by using formula 1/Total MOE = 1/Dermal MOE + 1/Inhalation MOE

Exposure for the combined task (mixing/loading/application) was obtained by adding exposures during mixing/loading and applications.

Unacceptable risk (MOE<100) are shaded.

Mixer/loaders

Exposure to omethoate was estimated for workers mixing/loading the product for ground (boom, airblast, hand-held and knapsack spraying) and aerial application. The amount of product handled per day for the different application methods varies depending on the number of hectares treated by that particular method per day. The MOE calculated using exposure estimates from PHED indicated unacceptable risks even if gloves were worn during open mixing/loading except for high pressure handheld method where exposure was acceptable for open mixing loading when gloves were worn and for knapsack without the use of gloves. For boomspray and airblast methods of application, exposure was acceptable only for closed mixing/loading systems, when gloves were worn (Tables 4-9). Mixing/loading for aerial application is mostly by mechanical methods in a closed mixing/loading system and was acceptable for the 290 g/L products when wearing gloves. For the 800 g/L products, an acceptable MOE was achieved for mixing/loading for both cotton and potatoes, when wearing a second layer of clothing over normal clothes, chemical resistant gloves plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges. However, no acceptable MOE could be achieved for closed mixing/loading using full PPE for eucalyptus application.

Applicators

Exposure to omethoate when applying the diluted 800 g/L and 290 g/L products by boom spray provides an acceptable risk to workers using open cabs during application (Table 4). However, from the low MOE values for applicators without gloves using closed cab equipment, it is recommended that closed cabs be used for all ground boom applications.

For airblast application (using only for 800 g/L products), open cabs did not provide adequate protection to applicators. The MOE was low for workers with or without gloves (Table 5). Risks from could not be reduced to acceptable levels when the spray was applied using closed cab tractors. Even when extensive PPE were applied (gloves, a second layer of clothing and a full face-piece respirator) during application with closed cab, the MOE was still unacceptable (<100).

For aerial application, an acceptable MOE was achieved for the 290 g/L product (pasture, cereal and field legume uses) with an enclosed cockpit (Table 6). For 800 g/L products applied to potatoes and cotton an acceptable MOE was achieved with an enclosed cockpit and gloves (Table 7b). However, for the larger quantity of active constituent applied to eucalyptus, an acceptable MOE could not be achieved even with the use of gloves and a second layer of clothing (Table 7a).

Exposure to omethoate when applying with high-pressure hand wand provides unacceptable MOEs for workers, either with or without gloves (Table 8). An acceptable MOE (>100) was achieved with the use of a second layer of clothing, over normal clothing, and a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges.

For knapsack/backpack application, an unacceptable MOE was achieved for workers either with or without gloves (Table 9). No acceptable MOE could be achieved even with the use of a second layer of clothing and a full face-piece respirator.

Mixer/loader/applicators (combined tasks)

For combined M/L/A (same worker performing mixing/loading and application) for boom spray application, risks were acceptable for workers wearing gloves for closed mixing/loading only and using enclosed cabs with gloves during application (Table 4).

For combined M/L/A for airblast application, risks are unacceptable for both open and closed cab application (Table 5), even when a second layer of clothing, over normal clothing, and a full face-piece respirator are worn during both operations.

For high pressure hand-held M/L/A (Table 8), risks were acceptable when a second layer of clothing and gloves are worn during M/L and a second layer of clothing and gloves, together with a full face-piece respirator are worn during application. This is recommended for bell injection to bananas also, as similar quantities are used and exposure is expected to be similar to or less than high pressure handheld applications. It is therefore considered that the any risk mitigation recommendations for handheld applications would also be protective of bell injection.

For knapsack/backpack M/L/A, risks to workers were unacceptable (MOE <10) even with the use of a second layer of clothing and a full face-piece respirator during both mixing/loading and application (Table 9).

As different workers are involved in mixing/loading and application for aerial application of pesticides, combined M/L/A was not assessed.

5.4 Risk management for use of omethoate products

Operators who mix/load omethoate products are likely to have dermal and inhalational exposure. In the absence of worker exposure studies specific for omethoate products, surrogate data (PHED) were used to predict exposure during mixing/loading, boom spraying, airblast spraying, aerial spraying, high-pressure hand and knapsack spraying. Worker exposure resulting from both activities combined, that is, the same worker performing mixing/loading and application was also estimated. Default values from PHED used included various parameters like mixing and loading methods and equipment, open or closed cabs.

MOEs (Tables 4 to 9) showed that risks to workers mixing/loading products for all mechanical ground based applications (boom spray or airblast) were acceptable for closed mixing/loading wearing one layer of clothing (cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist or equivalent clothing) and gloves. MOEs for mixing/loading for handheld applications were acceptable for open mixing/loading wearing one layer of clothing (cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist or equivalent clothing) and gloves. MOEs for aerial application were acceptable for closed mixing/loading wearing one layer of clothing (cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist or equivalent clothing) and gloves, for the 290 g/L products only.

MOEs were acceptable for workers applying the diluted products by boom spray using closed cabs. For combined M/L/A the use of boom spray for both 290 g/L and 800 g/L products is supported by the OCS for closed cabs plus closed mixing/loading wearing one layer of clothing (cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist or equivalent clothing) and gloves.

For airblast application MOEs (800 g/L products) were unacceptable even with the use of an enclosed cab and extensive PPE. For combined M/L/A, MOEs were also unacceptable, even with closed mixing and loading and the use on an enclosed cab. Therefore the use of airblast equipment is not supported by the OCS.

Omethoate products are also applied by aerial application method. Large areas (around 1200 ha) can be sprayed by this method requiring large amounts of product to be mixed. PHED estimates confirmed that the MOE was acceptable for workers wearing one layer of clothing (cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist or equivalent clothing) and gloves for the 290 g/L products. For application on eucalyptus plants, MOEs were unacceptable for workers mixing/loading 800 g/L products in closed systems and with full PPE and for application (pilots) in enclosed cockpits, and thus this use is not supported. For aerial application to cotton or potato crops however, risks to workers mixing/loading products were acceptable for closed mixing/loading wearing a second layer of clothing over normal clothes and a washable hat plus a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges and for applicators wearing a single layer of clothing and chemical resistant gloves. Hence these uses are supported by the OCS.

For hand-held spraying using high-pressure equipment, the MOE (800 g/L products) was acceptable for open mixing/loading with a second layer of clothing, over normal clothes, and gloves. For application, MOEs were acceptable when a second layer of clothing, over normal clothes, gloves and a full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges were worn. This PPE will also be protective for the bell injection method in bananas. Therefore the use of high-pressure handheld spraying and bell injection methods are supported by the OCS.

For knapsack/backpack application the MOE (800 g/L products) was unacceptable for application and open mixing/loading even with extensive PPE. Therefore the use of equipment carried on the back of the user is not supported by the OCS.

6. ASSESSMENT OF POST-APPLICATION EXPOSURE AND RISKS

6.1 Post-application exposure

Exposure to omethoate residues may occur in agricultural crops when workers re-enter treated crops to irrigate, weed, prune, thin or harvest crops. The type of activity, timing and frequency of re-entry activities is dependent on crop type. Potential worker exposure will be determined by factors such as the amount of chemical applied; interval between spraying and re-entry; nature and duration of the particular re-entry activity; density of foliage and spacing of crops and environmental factors that affect the breakdown of residues.

The main route of exposure on re-entering sprayed areas is expected to occur by dermal contact with treated foliage. Workers can be exposed to omethoate residues during re-entry situations such as, irrigation, scouting and other activities such as thinning and harvesting in broadacre and orchard crops.

In agricultural situations, omethoate is used in field/row crops (low and medium), bananas, oranges, ornamentals and deciduous trees (apple and pears). Foliar residues of omethoate may pose an unacceptable risk to workers engaged in post-treatment activities mentioned above. Safe re-entry intervals can be determined using the parameters, dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) and transfer coefficient (TC) and application rates.

The DFR can potentially be dislodged from both surfaces of a leaf. The Transfer Coefficient (TC) is a "residue transfer index" and indicates the amount of DFR that can be transferred to workers as a function of "field work activity". An appropriate TC is used in order to estimate worker exposure from DFR.

Dermal exposure can be calculated using the following formula:

Dermal exposure (mg/kg bw) = \overline{DFR} ($\mu g/cm^2$) x TC (cm^2/hr) x T (hr) /BW (kg)

1000

6.2 Post-application risks

No measured worker exposure data or dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) data for omethoate were provided for assessment.

Therefore, re-entry intervals (REIs) for all current crops appearing on the labels of both 290 g/L and 800 g/L products were estimated using the US Occupational Post-Application Risk Assessment Calculator (US EPA, 2000) (regardless of whether the application method provided unacceptable risks in PHED modelling).

Default assumptions used in the US EPA Calculator are:

Initial dislodgeable foliar residues (DFR): 20% of the omethoate applied

Dissipation rate/day: 10%

Not to be used for commercial or registration purposes without the consent of the owner of the cited information

Transfer coefficients (TC): Varies with crop and agronomic activities (US EPA, 2000), as follows:

Bananas: 1300 (Irrigation, scouting mature plants)

2000 (hand harvesting)

Field crop

(low height): 1500 (Irrigation scouting thinning, weeding mature plants)

2500 (hand harvesting, thinning, pruning, leaf pulling)

Apples, pears: 3000 (harvesting, pruning, training)

Cut flowers: 2500 (irrigation, scouting, thinning, weeding

7000 (hand harvesting, pruning, thinning)

Tree fruit: 1000 (irrigation, scouting)

3000 (harvesting, pruning)

Root vegetables: 1500 (irrigation and scouting)

These values are used to estimate exposure for workers re-entering treated areas for various agricultural activities for each day after application. The worker exposure data is compared to the dermal NOEL (2.5 mg/kg bw/day) to derive safe re-entry periods for carrying out those activities.

The results indicated the following re-entry periods for various crops and post application activities:

| Crops* | WHP | REI (irrigation, | REI (hand harvest) |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------------|--|
| | | scouting) | |
| Onions | 14 days | 10 days | 16 days |
| Cotton | 21 days | 10 days | N/A |
| Bananas (bell injection) | 6 weeks | 17 days | 17 days^ |
| Bananas (throat spray) | 4 days | 17 days | 17 days^ |
| Other edible crops | 7 days | 17 days (citrus) | apples, pears - 16 days; citrus - 27 days |
| Cut flowers | - | 29 days | 30 days |
| Lupins (800 g/L product) | 14 days | 10 days | N/A |
| Field crops (290 g/L products) | 1 day | 2 days | 7 days |

^{*} regardless of whether use supported in PHED modelling. N/A = Not Applicable

7. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE APVMA

1. The OCS recommends that the APVMA can NOT be satisfied that existing label instructions for omethoate products provide an acceptable margin of exposure for all label uses. However the OCS recommends that the APVMA can be satisfied that omethoate

[^] REI for scouting used based on the information supplied by APVMA, hand harvesting occurs at a significant time after product application.

- will not be a risk to human health for workers using omethoate based products in accordance with the revised label directions.
- 2. The OCS recommends that the APVMA can NOT be satisfied that omethoate will not be a risk to human health from use of the 800 g/L products by airblast equipment or by equipment carried on the back of the user and for aerial application to eucalyptus.
- 3. The following amended and new Safety Directions, which will be included in the FAISD Handbook, should be included on the product labels (Please note that formulations types have changed from the original AC only formulations to include LC and SL formulations, the updated SD now include these formulation types).

Safety Directions

Amended FAISD entry

| LC/SL 800 g/L | |
|--|--|
| Very dangerous, particularly the concentrate | 100 101 |
| Product and spray are poisonous if absorbed by skin contact or inhaled or swallowed | 120 121 130 131 132 133 |
| Will irritate the eyes | 161 162 |
| Repeated exposure may cause allergic disorders | 180 |
| Sensitive workers should use protective clothing | 181 |
| Repeated minor exposure may have a cumulative poisoning effect | 190 |
| Avoid contact with eyes and skin and clothing | 210 211 212 |
| Do not inhale spray mist | 220 223 |
| When opening the container and preparing spray for aerial spraying equipment wear cotton overalls, over normal clothing, buttoned to the neck and wrist and a washable hat, elbow-length chemical resistant gloves, water resistant footwear and full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges | 279 280 281 289d 290 292d 294c 298b 301 303 |
| When opening the container and preparing spray for boom spray equipment, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing), elbow-length chemical resistant gloves, water resistant footwear and face shield or goggles | 279 280 281 289b 290 292b 294c 298b 299 |
| When opening the container and preparing spray for high pressure hand wand, wear cotton overalls, over normal clothing, buttoned to the neck and wrist and a washable hat, elbow-length chemical resistant gloves, water resistant footwear and face shield or goggles | 279 280 281 289f 290 292d 294c 298b 299 |
| If applying by boom spray equipment, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and elbow-length chemical resistant gloves | 289b 290 292b 294c |
| If applying by high pressure hand wand, wear cotton overalls, over normal clothing, buttoned to the neck and wrists (or equivalent clothing) and a washable hat, elbow-length chemical resistant gloves and full face-piece respirator with gas/dust cartridges | 289f 290 292d 294c 301 303 |
| If clothing becomes contaminated with product or wet with spray remove clothing immediately | 330 331 332 |
| If product on skin, immediately wash area with soap and water | 340 342 |
| If product in eyes, wash it out immediately with water | 340 343 |
| After use and before eating, drinking or smoking, wash hands, arms and face thoroughly with soap and water | 350 |

| After each day's use, wash gloves, respirator (and if rubber wash with | |
|--|----------------------|
| detergent and warm water), face shield or goggles and contaminated | 360 361 364, 365 366 |
| clothing | |

Amended FAISD entry

| AC/LC/SL 290 g/L or less | |
|---|---|
| Product and spray are poisonous if absorbed by skin contact or inhaled | 120 121 130 131 132 133 |
| or swallowed | 120 121 130 131 132 133 |
| Will irritate the eyes | 161 162 |
| Repeated exposure may cause allergic disorders | 180 |
| Sensitive workers should use protective clothing | 181 |
| Repeated minor exposure may have a cumulative poisoning effect | 190 |
| Avoid contact with eyes and skin and clothing | 210 211 212 |
| Do not inhale spray mist | 220 223 |
| When opening the container and preparing spray, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing), elbow-length chemical resistant gloves, water resistant footwear and face shield or goggles | 279 280 281 290 292b 291 294c 298b 299 |
| When using the prepared spray, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and elbow-length chemical resistant gloves. | 279 282 290 292b 294c |
| If clothing becomes contaminated with product or wet with spray remove clothing immediately | 330 331 332 |
| If product on skin, immediately wash area with soap and water | 340 342 |
| If product in eyes, wash it out immediately with water | 340 343 |
| After use and before eating, drinking or smoking, wash hands, arms and face thoroughly with soap and water | 350 |
| After each day's use, wash gloves and face shield or goggles and contaminated clothing | 360 361 365 366 |

4. Re-entry statements

The following re-entry statements are recommended:

Root vegetables (onions, potatoes)

Do not allow entry into treated areas for 9 days for root vegetables. If prior entry is required, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and gloves. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use.

Field crops 290 g/L product (pasture, cereals, oilseed, legumes, lucerne, poppies and vetch)

Do not allow entry into treated areas for 2 days for field crops (pasture, cereals, oilseed, legumes, lucerne, poppies and vetch). If prior entry is required, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and gloves. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use.

Field crops 800 g/L product (cotton, lupins)

Do not allow entry into treated areas for 10 days for field crops (cotton and lupins). If prior entry is required, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and gloves. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use.

Bananas (Bell-injected or throat sprayed)

Do not allow entry into treated areas for 17 days for bananas. If prior entry is required, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and gloves. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use.

Cut flowers

Do not allow entry into treated areas for 30 days for cut flowers. If prior entry is required, wear cotton overalls buttoned to the neck and wrist (or equivalent clothing) and gloves. Clothing must be laundered after each day's use.

5. Precautionary statements

The following Precautionary statements are recommended:

[&]quot;Do not apply by airblast equipment."

[&]quot;Do not apply with boomspray equipment unless operators are protected by enclosed cabs."

[&]quot;Do not use open mixing/loading systems for boom spray and aerial equipment."

[&]quot;Do not apply by spray equipment carried on the back of the user."

8. REFERENCES

DoH (2011) Review of the Mammalian Toxicology and Metabolism/Toxicokinetics of Omethoate, Chemicals Review, Office of Chemical Safety, Department of Health, Canberra, Australia.

Flucke W and Luckhaus G (1979) S 6876 (Omethoate, the active ingredient of Folimat[®]) Subacute dermal toxicity study on rabbits. Bayer Report No. 8407. Sponsor: Bayer AG. 29.5.1979. Unpublished. [BA; sub: 239, Vol. 3 of 9. CHA; sub: 12564, Vol 3-26 of 39].

First Aid Instruction and Safety Directions (FAISD) Handbook, (2014) Commonwealth Department of Health, Australian Government, Canberra. 31 December, Edition 4/2014

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1994) *Control of Workplace Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1005(1994), 2007(1994)], AusInfo, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (2004) *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1008(2004)], AusInfo, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1994c) *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* [NOHSC:2011(1994)], Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1995) *Guidelines for Health Surveillance* [NOHSC:7039 (1998)], Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

PHED Surrogate Exposure Guide (1998) Estimates of worker exposure from the Pesticide Handler Exposure Database, version 1.1, August 1998.

Safe Work Australia (2015). Hazardous Substances Information System. http://hsis.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/Default.aspx.

Stamper JH, Nigg HN, Mahon WD, Nielsen AP and Royer MD (1989) Pesticide Exposure to Greenhouse Handgunners, Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 18, 515-529.

Thongsinthusak T, Ross JH, Meinders D (1993) Guidance for the Preparation of Human Pesticide Assessment Documents, Department of Pesticide Regulation, California Environmental Protection Agency, HS-1612, May 1993.

Thyssen J (1979) Folimat active ingredient (S 6876). Subacute inhalational toxicity study on rats. Bayer Report No. 8445. Sponsor: Bayer AG. 11.6.1979. Unpublished. [BA; sub: 239, Vol. 3 of 9].

US EPA. (2000). Occupational Post-Application Risk Assessment Calculator Version 1 (8/9/00). Policy 003.1.

ANNEX I – SAFE WORK AUSTRALIA HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE REGULATIONS

Hazard Classification

The active constituent omethoate (CAS: 1113-02-6) is listed in Safe Work Australia's (SWA) Hazardous Substances Information System (HSIS) Database (SWA, 2015) with the following risk phrases:

| R25 | Toxic if swallowed |
|-----|------------------------------|
| R21 | Harmful in contact with skin |

The following cut-offs apply for the active constituent omethoate:

| Conc. > 25 % | T; R25, R21 |
|--------------------|-------------|
| 3 % < Conc. < 25 % | Xn; R22 |

The OCS recommends the following additions/amendments to the risk phrases for omethoate (CAS: 1113-02-6) on Safe Work Australia's HSIS Database (SWA, 2015), based on the following toxicology information:

| Risk phrases | Toxicology information |
|---|---|
| Xn; R43: May cause sensitisation by skin contact. | Positive reaction in an skin sensitisation study in guinea pigs (Flucke 1984)*. |

^{*}Flucke W (1984) S 6876 (c.n. omethoate) Study for skin-sensitising effect on guinea pigs in the open epicutaneous test. Report No. 13084. Sponsor: Bayer AG, Institute of Toxicology, Wuppertal-Elberfeld. 29.11.1984. Unpublished. [BA; sub: 239, Vol. 3 of 9].

With the following cut-off concentration:

| Conc. ≥ 1% | Xn; R43 |
|------------|---------|
| | |

Therefore, the amended risk phrases for omethoate (CAS: 1113-02-6) to be listed in Safe Work Australia's HSIS Database (SWA, 2015) are as follows:

| R25 | Toxic if swallowed | |
|-----|---|--|
| R21 | Harmful in contact with skin | |
| R43 | May cause sensitisation by skin contact | |

The following cut-off concentrations apply for omethoate:

| Conc. > 25 % | T; R25, R21, R43 | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 3 % < Conc. < 25 % | Xn; R22, R43 | | |
| 1 % < Conc. < 3 % | Xn; R43 | | |

Exposure Standards

There is no Safe Work Australia exposure standard listed for omethoate.

Health Surveillance Requirements

Safe Work Australia has not placed omethoate specifically on the Schedule for Health Surveillance (Schedule 3 Hazardous Substances for which Health Surveillance is required; NOHSC *Control of Workplace Hazardous Substances*; 1994a). However, all organophosphate pesticides (including omethoate) are listed as requiring the following to be monitored:

- Occupational and medical history
- Physical examination
- Baseline estimation of red cell and plasma cholinesterase activity levels by the Ellman or equivalent method
- Estimation of red cell and plasma cholinesterase activity towards the end of the working day

| ANNEX II - Overseas Regulatory Assessments/Actions | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| There is no information available on omethoate. Most of the available information is on dimethoate. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Annex III: Australian registered products containing omethoate¹

| APVMA Product Code | Product Name | Product Registrant | Product Description | Content & formulation type |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| 80532 | Genfarm Omethoate 290 Insecticide | Landmark Operations Limited | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L AC |
| 70131 | Apparent Omethoate 290 Insecticide | Apparent Pty. Ltd | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 69899 | K-MITE 800 INSECTICIDE | SHANDONG RAINBOW INTERNATIO NAL CO., LTD. | For control of european red mite, two spotted mite, woolly aphid, banana flower thrips, aphid, mealy bug, mite, sycamore lace bug, thrip, whitefly, aphid, california red scale, jassids, mirids, thrip, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid, green peach aphid, green peach aphid and lucerne flea.on fruit trees, vegetables, potatoes, forestry & ornamentals | 800 g/L SL |
| 69703 | CONQUEST OVID 290 INSECTICIDE | CONQUEST CROP PROTECTION PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 69636 | FOKUS 800 INSECTICIDE | HEXTAR CHEMICALS PTY LTD | For control of european red mite, two spotted mite, woolly aphid, banana flower thrips, aphid, mealy bug, mite, sycamore lace bug, thrip, whitefly, aphid, california red scale, jassids, mirids, thrip, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid, green peach aphid, green peach aphid and lucerne flea on fruit trees, vegetables, potatoes, forestry & ornamentals | 800 g/L LC |
| 69166 | SABAKEM OMETHOATE 290SL MITICIDE & INSECTICIDE | SABAKEM PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 69096 | RAINBOW OMETHOATE 290 INSECTICIDE | SHANDONG RAINBOW INTERNATIO NAL CO., LTD. | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 68169 | FMC OMETHOATE 290 MITICIDE | FMC AUSTRALASI A PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |

.

¹ As at 29 April 2015.

| 66938 | MISSION OMETHOATE 290 INSECTICIDE | MISSION BELL HOLDINGS PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
|-------|---|--|--|------------|
| 65414 | FARMALINX OMETHO-MITE 290 SL INSECTICIDE | FARMALINX PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L LC |
| 63676 | IMTRADE OMEN 290 INSECTICIDE | IMTRADE AUSTRALIA PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 62022 | 4FARMERS OMETHOATE 290 INSECTICIDE | 4 FARMERS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L SL |
| 61682 | MITE MASTER 290 INSECTICIDE | GROW CHOICE PTY LIMITED | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L LC |
| 61681 | AW OMETHOATE 290 SL INSECTICIDE | AGRI WEST PTY LIMITED | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L LC |
| 59872 | CHEMAG SENTINEL 800 INSECTICIDE SPRAY | IMTRADE AUSTRALIA PTY LTD | For control of european red mite, two spotted mite, woolly aphid, banana flower thrips, aphid, mealy bug, mite, sycamore lace bug, thrip, whitefly, aphid, california red scale, jassids, mirids, thrip, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid, green peach aphid, green peach aphid and lucerne flea.on fruit trees, vegetables, potatoes, forestry & ornamentals | 800 g/L LC |
| 59576 | ALL-MITEY 290 SL INSECTICIDE | CHEMINOVA AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L LC |
| 45672 | LE-MAT 290 SL INSECTICIDE | ARYSTA LIFESCIENCE NORTH AMERICA LLC | For control of redlegged earth mite, blue oat or pea mite, bryobia mite, lucerne flea, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid] and spotted clover aphid on cereal, legume, pastures and poppy oilseed crops. | 290 g/L AC |
| 33055 | FOLIMAT 800 INSECTICIDE SPRAY | ARYSTA LIFESCIENCE NORTH AMERICA LLC | For control of european red mite, two spotted mite, woolly aphid, banana flower thrips, aphid, mealy bug, mite, sycamore lace bug, thrip, whitefly, aphid, california red scale, jassids, mirids, thrip, bluegreen aphid, cowpea aphid, green peach aphid, green peach aphid and lucerne flea.on fruit trees, vegetables, potatoes, forestry & ornamentals | 800 g/L LC |

| 33051 | FOLIMAT | ARYSTA | For control of codling moth, mite, thrip, | 2 g/kg HG |
|-------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|
| | GARDEN | LIFESCIENCE | woolly aphid, aphid, bronze orange bug, | AE |
| | INSECTICIDE | NORTH | citrus leafminer, mealy bug, leaf-eating | |
| | | AMERICA | beetle, bug, caterpillar, mealy bug, mite, | |
| | | LLC | sycamore lace bug, whitefly cabbage moth | |
| | | | and cabbage white butterfly on fruit trees, | |
| | | | vegetables, potatoes, herbs & ornamentals | |